ORIGINAL ARTICLE

COMPLICATION OF CORTICOSTEROID INJECTION: RUPTURE OF PLANTAR FASCIA

Nadeem Akbar Baloch¹, Shahzaib Riaz Baloch¹, Mohammad Sohail Rafi¹, Mohammad Idrees Shah¹, Faateh Siddiq¹

Department of Orthopedics, Ziauddin University Hospital, Karachi

ABSTRACT

Introduction: A series of 550 patients with a clinical diagnosis of plantar fascitis. All patients were initially given a conservative trial with anti-inflammatory medications along with resting and icing and rest. 280 patients of planter fascitis got relieved by such conservative management. Only 270 patients received corticosteroid injections and 37 of them were diagnosed with planter fascia rupture post injection. The Diagnosis of the rupture was mostly clinical which was assessed by windlass stretch test by dorsiflexion of the ankle and first toe. In patients who are diabetic the chances of rupture also increases. Treatment following rupture included supportive shoes, orthoses, and time.

Methods: All patients with clinical diagnosis of PF who came to Dr. Ziauddin Hospital out-patient department from 2012 to 2016 were taken into our study after consent. Only those patients were given CI that reappeared to the Outpatient with similar or worsening of symptoms. 4 out of the 37 patients in whom the clinical assessment was inconclusive MRI were done which showed attenuation of the plantar fascia. From these observations and data, the author concluded that PFR had occurred.

Results: Out of 270 patients only 37 patients (13.7%) were diagnosed with plantar fascia rupture. With increase in BMI the chances rupture increases. The majority had resolution of their new symptoms, but they often took up to 6 months to reoccur. In the remainder, there were persisting symptoms.

Conclusion: Corticosteroid injections, although helpful in the treatment of plantar fascitis, appear to predispose to plantar fascia rupture.

KEYWORDS: CI: Corticosteroid Injections, BMI: Body Mass Index, PF: Plantar Fascitis, PFR: Plantar Fascia Rupture

Corresponding Author
Shahzaib Riaz Baloch
Tiguddin University Hospital

Ziauddin University Hospital, Karachi Email: sheekdurrazai@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Inflammation of the plantar fascia is known as plantar fascitis. Patient typically complains of localize tenderness made worse on stepping on the heel and this is due to calcaneal spurs. Physical activity overload, abnormal foot mechanics, or may be underlying diseases that cause arthritis, such as Reiter disease, ankylosing spondylitis, and diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis are precipitants to plantar fascitis. The aim of treatment is to decrease inflammation and avoid re-injury. Icing decreases pain and inflammation. Anti-inflammatory agents were given to decrease the inflammation and corticosteroids injections.

The plantar fascia is a broad structure that is situat-

ed between the medial calcaneal tubercle and the proximal phalanges of the toes. Rather than a true fascia, it is more like an aponeurosis and serves as the most vital structure for arch support. The plantar fascia is predominantly composed of longitudinal collagen fibers. There are three distinct structural components: the medial component, the central component (plantar aponeurosis), and the lateral component. The largest and most prominent being the central component. In the younger age group, plantar fascia is closely related to the Achilles tendon. It maintains a continuous fascial connection between the two, from the distal aspect of the Achilles to the calcaneal tubercle, where the plantar fascia originates. However, in the elderly, this connection loses its continuity and they are left with few, if any, connecting fibers.

Although ruptures are rare, corticosteroid injections into the heel have been shown to be a causative agent. ^{1,16,23} Leach et al. was the first to report spontaneous rupture in 1978. ¹⁶ In this report, and in subsequent articles by Herrick and Herrick ¹² and Ahstrorn rapid resolution of the patients' symptoms within 6 to 8 weeks was the rule. Recently, Sellman's work has shown that rupture post corticosteroid injection may lead to long-term sequelae. We aim to define complications in patients with plantar fascia rupture who have had corticosteroid injections and evaluate their treatment and subsequent prognosis.

METHODS

550 patients, who were clinically diagnosed to be suffering from plantar fasciitis, were evaluated from January 2012 to December 2016 in Dr. Ziauddin University Hospital Out-patient Departments. The management was tailored for each patient with respect to the location and the severity of symptoms at the time presentation. All patients were prescribed nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and/oral mild narcotic analgesic and advised to modify their activity along with rest. A stiff-soled athletic shoe with an orthotic, or a boot walker, was utilized until symptoms improved. Chart analysis and telephone surveys were carried out for follow up analysis.

280 patients were treated successfully conservatively with icing, anti-inflammatory drugs, physiotherapy exercises or orthosis. The remaining 270 patients did not respond to conservative measures, mentioned above or their symptoms partially or temporarily got better and they revisited our clinics with the reoccurring planter fascitis. In these 270 patients corticosteroid injections were given which compromised of Depomedrol 40mg with 2% Lidocaine which were injected into the plantar fascia origin. Both of these injections were taken in 10cc disposable syringe. 40mg of Depomedrol consisting of 1ml and 5ml of 2% Lidocaine were taken. The needle is changed to a 25Guage needle. The heel is cleaned with pyodene solution, covering almost half of the heel on the medial side. Disposable surgical gloves are worn and this solution is injected on the medial side of the heel into the tenderest point. The surgeon normally feels the bone (calcaneum) with the needle and gently draws out the needle and start injecting the solution. The area is cleaned by spirit swab. The patient is allowed to stand up and put full weight on the affected heel. He/she is advised to apply ice compressions at home for 24 to 48 hours until the inflammation of the injected solution settles down. Anti-inflammatory drugs are normally prescribed for the first few days.

Only 37 patients were among the patients who had received the injections and had plantar fascia rupture. All of the 270 patients were counseled in detail and they agreed to receive a corticosteroid injection in their heels. Of the 270 patients received corticosteroid injections, 193 patients were male and 77 patients. Initially all patients were evaluated and underwent physical examination and observation of gait. The plantar fascia was examined and palpated to detect any mass or thickening. Continuity of the plantar fascia was assessed by Windlass stretch testing by dorsiflexing the ankle and the first toe. The maneuver is also performed on the opposing foot for comparison. In one case where there was bilateral involvement, tension was absent in both feet. The rest of the extremity was examined and neurovascular status was also assessed. Subjective and objective evaluations were conducted, 2 to 52 weeks (average of 14 weeks) after injection.

Clinical results were determined by using a modification of the scoring system developed at the Mayo Clinic for assessing postoperative results after plantar fascia release⁷. Recovery of the patients was gauged by this system at the latest follow-up according to three categories; as excellent (90-100 points), good (80-89 points), fair (70-79 points), or poor (70 points). Additionally, we asked the patients' opinion of the most effective treatment and how long the maximum recovery took since the onset of new (post rupture) symptoms.

RESULTS

Out of the 550 total number of patients, only 270 (49.1%) patients were given corticosteroid injections, the remaining 280 patients responded to conservative management. From the 270 patients who had received corticosteroid injection only 37 patients were diagnosed with plantar fascia rupture, with a percentage of 13.7%. From the patients who had received steroid injections, 193 were male and 77 female; 28 males with percentage of 14.5% and 9 females (11.7%) were diagnosed with planter fascia rupture as demonstrated in Table 1.

It can be seen that as the Body Mass Index increases the chances rupture also increases as demonstrated in Table 2-A, with a significant P-Value of <0.008 as demonstrated in Table 2-B. Patients who have diabetes are 4.5 times more prone to rupture than those who are non-diabetic Table 3-C and a significant p-value of <0.001 (Table 3-B).

TABLE 1: MALE FEMALE RUPTURE DISTRIBUTION

| | | | PLANTER RUPTURE | TOTAL | |
|-------|--------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | | YES | NO | |
| | MALE | COUNT % WITHIN GENDER_1 COUNT | 28 14.5% 9 | 165 85.5% 68 | 193 100.0% 77 |
| | FEMALE | % WITHIN GENDER_1 COUNT | 11.7% 37 | 88.3% 233 | 100.0% 270 |
| TOTAL | | % WITHIN GENDER_1 | 13.7% | 86.3% | 100.0% |

TABLE 1-A: CHI-SQUARE TESTS

| | VALUE | DF | ASYMP. SIG. (2-SIDED) | EXACT SIG. (2-SIDED) | EXACT SIG. (1-SIDED) |
|--|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| PEARSON CHI- SQUARE CONTINUITY CORRECTION ^B LIKELIHOOD RATIO FISHER'S EXACT | .370 ^A .170 .380 | 1 1 1 | .543 .680 .538 | | |
| TEST N OF VALID CASES | 270 | | | .695 | .347 |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 10.55. b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

TABLE 1-B: RISK ESTIMATE

| | VALUE | 95% CONFIDEN INTERVAL | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | | LOWER | UPPER |
| ODDS RATIO FO GENDER_1 (M / F) FOR COHORT PLANTER | 1.282 | .575 | 2.860 |
| FASCIA RUPTURE = YES | 1.241 | .615 | 2.507 |
| FOR COHORT PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE = NO N OF VALID CASES | .968 270 | .876 | 1.070 |

TABLE 2: CASE PROCESSING SUMMARY

| | CAS | ES | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------|---|---------|-----|---------|
| | VALID MISSING TOTAL | | | | L | |
| | N | PERCENT | N | PERCENT | N | PERCENT |
| BODY MASS INDEX * PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE | 270 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 270 | 100.0% |

TABLE 2-A: BODY MASS INDEX * PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE CROSS TABULATION

| | | | PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE | | TOTAL |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | | YES | NO | |
| | UNDERWEIGHT | COUNT % WITHIN BODY MASS | 0 | 2 100.0% | 2 100.0% |
| | (<18.5) | INDEX | 0.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| BODY MA | ASS | | | | |
| | | COUNT | 8 | 92 | 100 |
| | HEALTHY (18.5-24.9) | % WITHIN BODY MASS INDEX | 8.0% | 92.0% | 100.0% |
| | OVERWEIGHT (20.0- | COUNT | 19 | 119 | 138 |
| | 29.9) | % WITHIN BODY MASS INDEX | 13.8% | 86.2% | 100.0% |
| | OBESE (>40.0) | COUNT % WITHIN BODY MASS | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| | , , | INDEX | 33.3% | 66.7% | 100.0% |
| | | COUNT | 37 | 233 | 270 |
| TOTAL | | % WITHIN BODY MASS INDEX | 13.7% | 86.3% | 100.0% |

TABLE 2-A: BODY MASS INDEX * PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE CROSS TABULATION

| | VALUE | DF | ASYMP. SIG. (2-SIDED) | EXACT SIG. (2-SIDED) |
|---------------------|---------------------|----|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| PEARSON CHI- | | | | |
| SQUARE | 12.844 ^A | 3 | .005 | .020 |
| LIKELIHOOD RATIO | 11.209 | 3 | .011 | .012 |
| FISHER'S EXACT TEST | 11.019 | | | .008 |
| N OF VALID CASES | 270 | | | |

a. 3 cells (37.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .27.

TABLE 3: CASE PROCESSING SUMMARY

| | CAS | ES | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|-------|---------|------|---------|
| | VAL | ID | MISSI | ING | TOTA | L |
| | N | PERCENT | N | PERCENT | N | PERCENT |
| DIABETES * PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE RUPTURE | 270 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 270 | 100.0% |

TABLE 2-A: BODY MASS INDEX * PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE CROSS TABULATION

| | | | PLANTER RUPTURE | | TOTAL |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| | | | YES | NO | |
| | | COUNT | 14 | 28 | 42 |
| | Diabetic | % within Diabetes | 33.3% | 66.7% | 100.0% |
| | | % within Planter Fascia | | | |
| | | Rupture | 37.8% | 12.0% | 15.6% |
| Diabetes | | | | | |
| | | COUNT | 23 | 205 | 228 |
| | Non- | % within Diabetes | 10.1% | 89.9% | 100.0% |
| | diabetic | % within Planter Fascia | | | |
| | | Rupture | 62.2% | 88.0% | 84.4% |
| | | COUNT | 37 | 233 | 270 |
| Total | | % within Diabetes | 13.8% | 86.2% | 100.0% |
| | | % within Planter Fascia | | | |
| | | Rupture | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

TABLE 3-B: CHI-SQUARE TESTS

| | VALUE | DF | ASYMP. SIG. (2-SIDED) | EXACT SIG. (2-SIDED) | EXACT SIG. (1-SIDED) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| PEARSON CHI- | 16.206 ^A | 1 | .000 | .000 | .000 |
| SQUARE | 14.300 | 1 | .000 | | |
| CONTINUITY | | | | | |
| CORRECTION ^B | | | | | |
| LIKELIHOOD RATIO | 13.174 | 1 | .000 | .001 | .000 |
| FISHER'S EXACT | | | | | |
| TEST | | | | | |
| N OF VALID | 270 | | | | |
| CASES | | | | | |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.76. b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

TABLE 3-C: RISK ESTIMATE

| | VALUE | 95% CONFID | ENCE NTERVAL |
|---|-------|------------|--------------|
| | | LOWER | UPPER |
| ODDS RATIO FOR DIABETES (DIABETIC) | 4.457 | 2.057 | 9.653 |
| FOR COHORT PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE = YES | 3.304 | 1.855 | 5.885 |
| FOR COHORT PLANTER FASCIA RUPTURE = NO | .741 | .596 | .922 |
| N OF VALID CASES | 270 | | |

DISCUSSION

Plantar fascitis is a condition which has many synonyms in medical language. Subcalcaneal pain, calcaneodynia and heel spur are a few such examples. Regardless of what name is used, the patient presents with the complaint of 'heel pain'. If we further divulge into the matter the pain typically shows up after rest or in the morning and usually is a result of increased activity levels, such as in patients that are frequent in sports that require running. A spontaneous onset is usually observed but certain variables such as increase in weight, prolonged

standing, altered levels of activity and training Z errors, all have shown associations with Plantar fascitis $.^{2.9,18,21,25}$

For the treatment of Plantar fascitis, a conservative approach is usually adopted where a patient is advised to take rest, physiotherapy, use anti inflammatory medications, elevate the heel and use heel supports such as cushions, orthotic devices or splints. More than 80-90% of patients respond well to a nonoperative, conservative approach^{2,4,5,9,10,11,17,18,21,25}. That said, there is a spectrum when it comes to the forms of treatment and there is great diversity in the degree of success each one

offers^{4, 5, 11,17,18,21}. At times when the conservative approach fails, we turn to injectable agents such as corticosteroids, which are quite popular amongst most studies^{4,5,11,17,18,21,25}.

On the contrary there are studies that talk about the risks associated with these injections. Mann et al.⁵ believes fat pad atrophy to be a consequence of corticosteroid injections. Previously carried out studies^{1, 2} state that rupture of the plantar fascia is also a complication of such injections.

A number of studies have attributed spontaneous tendon rupture to these local injections. An article written by Y¹³ Kennedy and Willis¹⁴ looks at the effect of corticosteroids injected into the Achilles tendon of rabbits and talks about consequential collagen necrosis and disruption of collagen fibers. Furthermore it states that complete biomechanical reconstruction occurs after 6 weeks of the procedure. We have interestingly found, in our series of cases, that the average rupture time is about 10 weeks. This suggests that this sort of intervention hinders the healing process in Planter fascitis. Further evidence supporting this notion is provided by a piece written by Sellman²³. In a series of 37 patients it was found that in about 50% with symptomatic rupture will present with long term or permanent injury related sequelae^{7,13,19,22}. Additionally Huang et al¹³ pointed out of the damaging effect of plantar fasciotomy, as the plantar fascia is vital in maintaining the longitudinal arch and stability.

In recent times, studies have given support to the thought that rupture or surgical release because increased strain on the lateral column structure and result in lateral midfoot pain.¹⁹

Now the question that arises is that, is cortisone truly a contributing factor in the cases of rupture? In the 2 years of this study, 550 patients that presented with heel pain were seen by the author. Among the ones who suffered plantar fascia rupture only 55 had received a steroid injection, which translates into 29%.

Patients in our study mostly presented with a pain vaguely explained as midfoot pain and weakness. This pain arose randomly after rupture and worsened with unprotected activity. The lateral column was found to be most often involved, even though majority pointed out the pain to be in the mid foot. Out of a total of 37, 21 patients localized their midfoot pain in the lateral column. The rest had diffused midfoot pain. Planter fascia elongation has also been found to have a relationship with acquired hammertoe deformities. With increasing age, declining efficiency of intrinsic flexors and plantar aponeurosis may allow hyperextension of the proximal phalanx. We observed that 10 patients developed asymmetric hammertoe on the affected foot after the rupture of the plantar fascia and

nerve dysfunction was not a contributor to the symptoms. We also noted six patients who developed intermittent or permanent disability of the lateral plantar nerve. Patients complained of numbness or tingling in the plantar-lateral forefoot and upon examination the lateral plantar nerve showed decreased sensation and/or intrinsic motor weakness. The cause of this is thought to be a result of lost arch support, which causes hyperpronation.

Long-term relief was inconstant and in some cases not achieved. These side effects of plantar fascia rupture are an evidence of a recent series demonstrating poor outcomes of plantar fasciotomy. Using a comparable scoring system, Daly et al.7 obtained 57% excellent results after plantar fasciotomy compared to the 40% excellent results our series showed. The lower scores observed with complete plantar fascia rupture maybe due to some source of stability provided with partial fasciotomy. Additionally, longer follow-ups by Daly et al. could have resulted in improved scores⁷. For patients with refractory symptoms this may be a viable alternative, though we have no experience with surgical management. Christel et al.6 reported on the surgical treatment of plantar fascia ruptures in 16 athletes. The released the plantar fascia and excised the pathologic scar tissue. On average a 16- month follow-up was done and all patients were pain free. In our study, the majority did not localize persistent pain to the rupture site.

CONCLUSION

Calcaneal osteotomy and lateral column lengthening are suggested by some experimental studies and they say this reduces demands on the plantar fascia, perhaps even substitutes function in persistently symptomatic patients. Though we have experience in this approach, theory suggests that patients with persistent lateral plantar nerve dysfunction could be improved by correction of the pes planus deformity combined with nerve decompression. To summarize, 270 out of the 550 patients were injected with steroids during the time interval of our study, accounting for 37 ruptures post injection on clinical assessment. We therefore had a 13.7% complication rate for patients injected, concluding that corticosteroid injections although maybe helpful in treating recurrent/ un-resolving symptoms with a 86.3% success rate, but may predispose to planter Fascia rupture.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahstrom JP. Spontaneous rupture of the plantar fascia. Am J Sports Med 1988;16:306-7.
- 2. Anderson RB, Foster MD. Operative treatment of subcalcaneal pain. Foot Ankle 1989; 9:317-323.
- 3. Balasubramaniam P, Prathap K. The effect of

- injection of hydrocortisone into rabbit calcaneal tendons. J Bone Joint Surg 1972; 54B:729-34.
- 4. Baxter DE, Thigpen CM. Heel pain: operative results. Foot Ankle 1984; 5:16-25.
- 5. Bordelon RL. Subcalcaneal pain: a method of evaluation and plan for treatment. Clin Orthop 1983; 177:49-53.
- 6. Christel P, Rigal S, Poux D, Roger B, Witvoet J. Traitement chirurgical des ruptures de l'aponevrose-plantaire. Rev Chirurg Orthop 1993; 79:218-25.
- 7. Daly PJ, Kitaoka HB, Chao EYS. Plantarfasciotomy for intractable plantar fascitis: clinical results and biomechanical evaluation. Foot Ankle 1992, 13:188-94
- 8. Daniels TR, Hearn TC. The effects of foot position and load on lateral plantar nerve tension. Foot Ankle Int 1998;19(2):73-8.
- 9. DeMaio M, Paine R, Mangine RE, Drez D. Plantar fascitis. Orthopedics 1993;16:1153-63.
- 10. Gill LH. Plantar fascitis: diagnosis and conservative management. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1997; 5:109-17.
- 11. Grimes DW, Garner RW. Medial calcaneal neurotomy for painful heel spurs, a preliminary report. Orthop Rev 1978; 7:57-8.
- 12. Herrick RT, Herrick S. Rupture of the plantar fascia in a middle-aged tennis player. A case report. Am J Sports Med 1983; 11:95.
- 13. Huang C-K, Kitaoka HB, An K-N, Chao, EYS. Biomechanical evaluation of longitudinal arch stability. Foot Ankle 1993, 14:353-7.
- 14. Kennedy JC, Willis RB. The effects of local steroid injections on tendons: a biomechanical and microscopic correlative study. Am J Sports Med 1976; 4:11-21.

- 15. Lapidus PW, Guidotti FP. Painful heel: report of 323 patients with 364 painful heels. Clin Orthop 1965; 39:178-86.
- 16. Leach R, Jones R, Silva T. Rupture of the plantar fascia in athletes. J Bone Joint Surg 1978; 60A:537-9. 17. Lutter LD. Surgical decisions in athletes' subcalcaneal pain. Am J Sports Med 1986; 14:481-5.
- 18. McBryde AM. Plantar fascitis. Instr Course Lect 1984, 23:278-82.
- 19. Murphy GA, Pneumaticos SG, Noble PC, Kamaric E, Trevino SG, Baxter DE. Biomechanical behavior of the foot following sequential sectioning of the plantar fascia. Foot Ankle Int 1998; 19(3), 149-52
- 20. Myerson MS, Horton GA, Parks B. The effect of calcaneal osteotomy and lateral column lengthening on the plantar fascia: a biomechanical investigation. Foot Ankle Int 1998;19(6):370-3.
- 21. Pfeffer GB, Baxter DE, Graves S, Michelson JD, Sammarco GJ. Symposium: the management of plantar heel pain. Contemp Orthop 1996; 32:357-66. 22. Scheck M. Etiology of acquired hammertoe deformity. Clin Orthop 1977, 123:63-9.
- 23. Sellman JR. Plantar fascia rupture associated with corticosteroid injection. Foot Ankle 1994:15:376-81.
- 24. Thordarson DB, Schmotzer H, Chon J, Peters J. Dynamic support of the human longitudinal arch: a biomechanical evaluation. Clin Orthop 1995; 316:165-72.
- 25. Wolgin M, Cook C, Graham C, Mauldin D. Conservative treatment of plantar heel pain: long-term follow-up. Foot Ankle 1994; 15:97-102.