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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was conducted to collate and compare a 1 year post-surgical radiographic outcome of posterior spinal instrumentation and correction in the coronal plane with the use of pedicle screws against hybrid fixation in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis.

Method: Cross-sectional study involving 34 patients who underwent scoliosis correction. The variables were measured pre-operatively, immediately post surgery and in two 6 monthly OPD follow-ups. Data analysis of data was conducted in SPSS version 20.

Results: Average major curve was corrected from 58.0 ± 13.0° pre-op to 16.0 ± 9.0° using pedicle screws fixation and increased to 18.4 ± 8.6° at first year follow-up and using hybrid construct, the normal major curve was corrected from 62.0 ± 13.0° pre-op to 18.0 ± 8.0° and increased to 22 ± 9°. By 1 year of follow-up, differences were apparent between the two groups considering the curvature in the coronal plane.

Conclusion: Cobb’s angles between pre-operative and post operative correction and 1-year radiographic follow-up evaluation was better in the instrumentation fixation using pedicle screws compared to hybrid instrumentation. Using pedicle screws there was a correction loss of 12.5% as compared to the 16.6% using hybrid fixation. Pedicle screw instrumentation, also has the advantage of allowing three dimensional correction of deformity.
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INTRODUCTION

Scoliosis is the lateral deviation of the vertebrae in the coronal plane. In its mild form, it may only cause shape changes in the trunk but severe forms can lead to neurological, cardiac and pulmonary changes as well.1

The Scoliosis Research Society classifies idiopathic scoliosis according to the age of onset. From birth to three years of age it is termed Infantile Scoliosis; between ages 4-10 it is called Juvenile scoliosis and between age 10 and upwards is termed Adolescent scoliosis, which is the most common form.2

Segmental instrumentation was introduced by Luque in 1982 combining rods with sub laminar wires and later the segmental hook rod system was developed by Cotrel-Dubousset.3,4 Pedicle screws instrumentation have become the main stay of fixation method to correct deformities of the spine but still problems such as nerve root injury are associated with screw placements:5 As a result the optimal treatment choice is still debated. We presume that the all-screw method provides a better three dimensional corrections and stability.6
METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Dr. Ziauddin Hospital Clifton Karachi from January 2013 to December 2016 assessing a number of variables such as age, sex and pre-operative, immediate post operative Cobb's angle and the Cobb's angle at two 6 monthly follow-ups. Antero-posterior and lateral X-rays of the whole spine were performed in standing position at the periods. Patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (23 females, 11 males) were evaluated. Patients with congenital or neuromuscular scoliosis were excluded and so were those undergoing revision surgery. The maximum follow-up was 1 year. The mean patient age was 16.5 +/- 5 years. Lenke's classification system was used to grade the curve. Fixation points were decided pre-surgery on radiographs and posterior midline approach was used in all the cases. All the patients were instrumented with pedicle screws, hooks, rods and transverse bars. Decortication of the posterior spine and the capsule were performed to favor bone fusion. Wake-up test was performed in all instances. The date was analyzed in SPSS version 20 with a P value of < 0.05.

RESULTS

From 2013 to 2016, 34 patients were reviewed with imaging exams for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. The study included 11 males and 23 female patients. 14 patients underwent correction using Hybrid Fixation and the rest were operated using the segmental fixation technique. The mean Cobb angle showed no statistical difference between the two genders and no neurological complications were observed and neither were implant failures during the follow-up duration. Superficial infection was seen in only one case and was resolved by medical treatment.

The average major curve correction from 58.0 ± 13.0° Pre-op to 16.0 ± 9.0° was observed using pedicle screw fixation and increased to 18.4 ± 8.6° at one year follow-up. This showed a 71% correction rate and loss of +/- 2°. Using hybrid construct, the average curve correction from 62.0 ± 13.0° Pre-op to 18.0 ± 8.0° was observed and increased to 22 ± 9° at first year follow-up.

Using pedicle screws there was a correction loss of 12.5% as compared to the 16.6% using hybrid fixation. By 1 year follow-up the differences were obvious between the two groups.

Table 1: Gender based distribution in the PSF and HI groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>PSF</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cobb angles – pre, post and at 1 year follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pedicle Screws</th>
<th>Hybrid Fixation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Pre-operative Cobb's</td>
<td>58.0±13.0°</td>
<td>62.0±13.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Post-operative Cobb's</td>
<td>16.0±9.0°</td>
<td>18.0±8.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb's in 1 year</td>
<td>18.4±8.6°</td>
<td>21±9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Loss in 1 year</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Albeit some contention exists, the all-screw build has increased overall success in the treatment of idiopathic scoliosis in this decade. This mirrors the agreement that all pedicle screw instrumentation accomplishes better three dimensional correction and less loss when compared to hybrid instrumentation. An immediate examination between these builds uncovered a predominant correction in pedicle screws method in 58 AIS patients (70% vs 56%) which also acknowledges a past report utilizing Moss Miami hybrid fixation in 61 AIS patients having a rectification rate of 56% in the thoracic curves.

Two studies published by Kim et al in 2004 compared the results of PS and hook instrumentation and published another one in 2004 comparing the results of pedicle screws instrumentation with hybrid instrumentation. In the former study they found the pedicle screw instrumentation to be superior because of better correction rate and less loss of correction in coronal and sagittal plans. Blood loss and operative time did not differ between the two.
METHODS

Results of pedicle screws instrumentation with hybrid construct were unremarkable, with a rectification rate of 56% in the thoracic curves.

Correction pedicle screw fixation is a safe and effective surgical method. Compared to Hybrid instrumentation, these patients displayed significantly improved correction of the major curve. Our study was consistent with previous studies in which pedicle screw fixation was found to be superior to hybrid instrumentation in the treatment of adolescent scoliosis.

One of the limitations of the present study is that the patients did not belong to a single Lenke group, which made standardization difficult. However, in both the pedicle screw fixation and hybrid instrumentation groups, there were patients from different Lenke groups.

Correction pedicle screw fixation is a safe and effective surgical method. Compared to Hybrid instrumentation, these patients displayed significantly improved correction of the major curve. Our study was consistent with previous studies in which pedicle screw fixation was found to be superior to hybrid instrumentation in the treatment of adolescent scoliosis.

Table 1: Gender based distribution in the PSF and HI groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>PSF</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cobb angles – pre, post and at 1 year follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pre-op</th>
<th>Post-op</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSF</td>
<td>62.0 ± 13.0°</td>
<td>58.0 ± 8.0°</td>
<td>22 ± 9°</td>
<td>16.5 ± 8.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>61.0 ± 14.0°</td>
<td>57.0 ± 8.0°</td>
<td>21 ± 9°</td>
<td>16.5 ± 8.0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PSF system is considered biomechanically advantageous because the pedicle is the hardest part of the vertebral body and is used as an anchor in this system and because of this advantage it allows improved correction of the deformity with preservation of the motion segments by reducing number of fused levels.

One of the limitations of the present study is that the patients did not belong to a single Lenke group, which made standardization difficult. However, in both the pedicle screw fixation and hybrid instrumentation groups, there were patients from different Lenke groups.

Correction pedicle screw fixation is a safe and effective surgical method. Compared to Hybrid instrumentation, these patients displayed significantly improved correction of the major curve. Our study was consistent with previous studies in which pedicle screw fixation was found to be superior to hybrid instrumentation in the treatment of adolescent scoliosis.

Figure 1: Post-operative x-rays of a 10 year old female patient with AIS. Pre-operative Cobb’s angle of 44 degrees, corrected using pedicle screws segmental fixation. (A) Antero-Posterior View (B) Lateral View

Figure 2: Comparative x-rays of a 10 year old female patient with AIS. Pre-operative Cobb’s angle of 44 degrees. (A) Pre-operative x-rays (B) Post-operative x-rays.
CONCLUSION

Cobb angles between pre-operative and post-operative correction and 1-year radiographic follow-up evaluation was better in the instrumenta-
tion fixation using pedicle screws compared to hybrid instrumentation. Using pedicle screws there was a correction loss of 12.5% as compared to the 16.6% using hybrid fixation Pedicle screw instrumen-
tation. Pedicle screw fixation also has the advantage of allowing three dimensional correction of deformity.
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